

100

(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 851 OF 2001

UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

PETITIONER : Kapoor Kunwar Patel,w/o Rameshwar Prasad Patel aged 50 years, Sarpanch Gram Panchayat,Kotmi,r/o village Kotmi,Tahsil Dabhara, District Janjgir-Champa (Chhattisgarh)

Versus

RESPONDENTS :

1. Collector Janjgir Champa, District Janjgir - Champa (Chhttisgarh)

2. S.D.O.(Revenue),Sakti,District Janjgir Champa(Chhattisgarh)

3. Rambhajan,s/o Nankau,aged 45 years, occupation agriculturis,r/o village Kotmi,District Janjgir Champa (Chhattisgarh)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(39)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 351/2001 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
20.4.2001	None for the petitioner. Shri Ranbir Singh, G..A. for the respondents 1 and 2. Smt. Meera Ansari for respondent no.3. On 15.3.2001 it was noted by this Court amongst other things as follows :"If the averment is correct and some members are prevented by the respondent no.3 from attending the proceeding, the S.D.O. shall see to it that no-confidence motion proceeding is held in the presence of such persons and their attendance must be secured." The matter was to be listed on 20th March 2001. It was listed on 22nd March, 2001. On that date too none appeared for the petitioner. It was expressed by Shri Gautam Bhaduri that it might be that in view of the order passed on 15.3.2001 nothing remains as relief as far as the petitioner is concerned. It was however ordered that P.F. and copies shall be filed within a week failing which this petition shall stand automatically dismissed.	

[पीछे देखिये

आदेश पत्रक (पुर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Learned counsel for the respondent no.3 submits that no-confidence motion was held on 16.3.2001 as schedule d and a resolution was taken. Shri Ranbir Singh appearing on behalf of respondents 1 and 2 also submits that records are available with him which show that no-confidence motion was duly held on 16.3.2001 and itwas passed by a majority of two-thirds. In this view of the matter, it appears that there is nothing that remains for determination by this Court. The petition is dismissed as infructuous. Sd/- Chief Justice	

Nair/*

GRPRJ—FS/311—1/2001—50,000.